Scoring Factor	Applicant Supplemental Questions	Narrative Response	Yes/No	Uploaded File	Applicant Instructions	Reviewer Instructions	Source Reference	Max Points	Review Score
	Application Instructions								
	There are two required applications for the Continuum of Care Notice of Funding Opportunity (CoC NOFO) competition: (1) the local application in SMARTSHEET and (2) the national application in e-SNAPs. The following instructions are for the local application in SMARTSHEET.					**Please read all Reviewer Instructions in this column for each Scoring Factor. Enter the Review Score in the pink highlighted cell for each Scoring Factor.**			
	If you submitted an Intent to Apply Form, your agency should have been given access to SMARTSHEET via an email from "James Coles via Smartsheet" that included login information. If you did not receive this email, or if you have additional staff members needing a login, please contact admin@housing-tools.com.								
	To complete the local application, please answer the following questions in SMARTSHEET in the Narrative Response, Yes/No, and/or Uploaded File Columns as highlighted in yellow next to the question.								
	Please note, the following factors may require additional documentation to be uploaded in SMARTSHEET. Please review the instructions under the Applicant Instructions Column:								
	Any communication and/or coordination (e.g., letter of support or email correspondence) with the Coordinated Entry Lead (Sacramento Steps Forward) focused on developing the proposed Coordinated Entry project (see scored factor 2D for additional instructions).								
	A clear, complete, and easy to read budget for your proposed new project using the budget template provided (see scored factor 4A for additional instructions).								
	Any relevant documentation from audit and/or monitoring conducted by an oversight entity (e.g. Sacramento Steps Forward, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), financial entity conducting a single audit) that has been highlighted to call attention to the most relevant information (see scored factor 4C for additional instructions).								
	Please name the attachment in a way that makes clear what the document is (e.g., Organization Name_Policies and Procedures_Project Name).								
	Threshold Factors								
1	1. Please review the Threshold Criteria on pages 1-2 of the 2023 Coordinated Entry New Project Scoring Tool. To confirm that this project complies with each component of the 2023 Renewal Project Threshold Criteria, please select "Yes" in Column Yes/No. If you plan to respond "No", please notify Housing Tools at admin@housing-tools.com as soon as possible.				Download the 2023 New Project Scoring Tool by clicking the paperclip icon to the left of the Scoring Factor Column.	Flag if response to this question is "No" and immediately notify the Review and Ranking Panel.			
	General								
	2. Please provide a summary of this project. This summary is for identification purposes only and will not be scored. The summary will help panelists confirm that they have accurately identified this project, as distinguished from other projects proposed or administered by your agency. You might briefly describe this project's program type, size, the populations your project will serve, location (if known), and any other distinguishing characteristics of this project that sets it apart from your agency's other projects. [Please limit response to 250 characters]								
	Coordinated Entry Project Design								
2A	3. Scoring Factor 2A: Please clearly and fully describe the agency's knowledge and understanding of current Coordinated Entry System, including processes and policies around eligibility, assessment, prioritization and match, placement, and the circumstances under which a Coordinated Entry referral can be denied. [Please limit response to 1000 characters]					2A. Award points if the proposed project will align with HUD requirements and local coordinated entry design: Does the project demonstrate knowledge and understanding of current Coordinated Entry System including processes and policies around eligibility, assessment, prioritization and match, placement, and the circumstances under which a Coordinated Entry referral can be denied?	RFI	8	

2B	4. Scoring Factor 2B: Please describe how the proposed project will expand the capacity of the current Coordinated Entry System in alignment with HUD requirements. In your response, please explain:					2B. Award points if the proposed project will align with HUD requirements and local coordinated entry design:	RFI	8	
	the unmet need within the current Coordinated Entry System and how this project will address that need;					Does the project demonstrate why and how it meets an existing need within the current Coordinated Entry system?			
	if the project will serve households that are new to the Coordinated Entry System and how many new households the project will serve;					Will the households served by this project be new to Coordinated Entry or receive additional (targeted) services through the proposed project beyond what is currently available?			
	if the project will offer targeted services to households beyond what is offered in the current Coordinated Entry System and what those services are; and					Does the project provide a connection to housing and/or services not currently available through the existing Coordinated Entry System?			
	if the proposed project will provide any connections to housing and/or services not currently available through the Coordinated Entry System. [Please limit response to 3000 characters]								
2C	5. Scoring Factor 2C: Please describe how the proposed project will be in alignment with the local Coordinated Entry System. In your response, please include the project's plan to:					2C. Award points if the proposed project demonstrates how it will connect into the current Coordinated Entry System:	RFI	8	
	use community-approved assessment tools (e.g., VI-SPDAT),					Does the project demonstrate it will use community-approved assessment tools such as the VI-SPDAT?			
	ensure that eligible households are document ready at the time of referral,					Does the project demonstrate how it will ensure that Coordinated Entry eligible households are document ready?			
	protect the safety of DV survivors during assessment and referral, and					Does the project demonstrate how it will work with the Coordinated Entry Lead to ensure clients are identified and connected to appropriate housing vacancies quickly including using processes such as by-name list and case conferencing?			
	work with the Coordinated Entry Lead (Sacramento Steps Forward) to ensure eligible clients are identified and connected to appropriate housing vacancies quickly, including, but not limited to, using processes such as by-name-lists and case conferencing. [Please limit response to 1000 characters]					Does the project demonstrate how it will adequately protect the safety of DV survivors during assessment and referral?			
2D	6. Scoring Factor 2D: Upload a copy of any communication/coordination (e.g., letter of support or email correspondence) with the Coordinated Entry Lead (Sacramento Steps Forward) focused on developing the proposed project; AND Describe if the proposed project will be ready to start serving clients within 3 months of receiving HUD funding. Please also explain:				Please save the file name customized to your agency and project name: "Organization Name_CE Correspondence_Project Name". Upload by clicking on the paperclip to the left of the Scoring Factor Column, then click "Attach Files to Row" button in the Attachments box to the right, and select "Upload a File" and select file from your computer. After file is uploaded, check the box in	2D. Award points if the proposed project will be ready to begin serving clients within 3 months of receiving HUD funding. Consider:	RFI	8	
	the agency's current staff capacity to prepare for this project;					Whether the agency has demonstrated communication/coordination with the CE Lead in developing the proposed projects (via letter of support or email correspondence);			
	the agency's plan to train staff in local Coordinated Entry processes and tools; and					Whether the agency's current staff has the capacity to begin preparing for this project;			

	if the agency already has policies and procedures that can be used as-is or easily adapted for use in this project. [Please limit response to 1000 characters]					Whether the agency has a plan to train staff in local Coordinated Entry processes and tools (e.g., does the project indicate how many staff will be/are already trained in HMIS or the VI-SPDAT); and			
						Whether the agency already has policies and procedures that can be used as-is or easily adapted for use in this project.			
	Services								
3A	7. Scored Factor 3A: Please describe the referrals to supportive services the program will offer. Please describe the project's plan for diverting clients who might be able to self-resolve and any self-help resources these clients will be connected to; the project's plan for evaluating which services a client would benefit from while waiting to be matched with housing (e.g., on- going case management) while considering client preference. [Please limit response to 1000 characters]					3A. Award points if the proposed project's services assessment process will align with HUD requirements and local Coordinated Entry design.	RFI	4	
						Does the project have a plan for diverting clients who might be able to self-resolve? Evaluate how the project will connect clients to self-help resources when appropriate.			
						Will the project actively evaluate which services a client would benefit from while waiting to be matched with housing (e.g., on-going case management), taking into account client preference?			
3B	8. Scored Factor 3B: Please describe how the proposed project will connect with the broader homelessness system of care. Please describe your project's specific plan for connecting clients to services in the community, including specific descriptions of service linkages and delivery. Please also describe any of the agency's existing relationships with service providers that are not currently working with the Coordinated Entry System, as well as any unique committees or partnerships your agency works with that would be beneficial for connecting clients to services. [Please limit response to 1000 characters]					3B. Award points if the proposed project will have adequate connections to the broader homelessness system of care. Consider:	RFI	6	
						Does the project adequately describe their plan for connecting clients to services in the community? Award fewer points for general statements, more points for concrete descriptions of service linkages and delivery.			
						Does the project have existing relationships with service providers that are not currently available through the existing Coordinated Entry System?			
						Does the project participate in any unique committees or partnerships that will be beneficial for connecting clients to services?			
3C	9. Scored Factor 3C: Please describe how the project with conduct or provide access to mainstream resource trainings for staff. Please describe the agency's plan for staff training on mainstream benefits eligibility and the agency's capacity to provide mainstream benefits training, such as SOAR training. [Please limit response to 1000 characters]					3C. Award points if the proposed project will conduct or provide access to training for staff on available mainstream resources for which clients may qualify. Consider:	RFI	3	
						Agency plans for staff training on benefits eligibility;			
						Agency capacity to provide connections to mainstream benefits, such as SOAR training.			
	Agency Capacity								

4A	10. Scored Factor 4A: Submit a clear, complete, and easy to read budget for your proposed new project using the budget template. Please explain, how your budget shows that the project will: have enough resources to provide high-quality, reliable services to the target population; leverage significant outside resources (e.g., funding, staff, building space, volunteers) rather than rely entirely on CoC funds; and take appropriate measures to contain costs. [Please limit response to 1000 characters]				Download the 2023 New Project Budget Form by clicking the paperclip icon to the left of the Scoring Factor Column. Please save the file name customized to your agency and project name: "OrganizationName_NewProjectBudget_Project Name". Upload by clicking on the paperclip to the left of the Scoring Factor Column, then click "Attach Files to Row" button in the Attachments box to the right, and select "Upload a File" and select file from your computer. After file	4A. Award points based on: project has submitted a budget that is clear, complete, and easy to read; the budget shows that the project will have enough resources to provide high-quality, reliable services to the target population; the budget shows that the project will leverage significant outside resources (funding, staff, building space, volunteers, etc.) rather than rely entirely on CoC funds; and the budget shows that the project is taking appropriate measures to contain costs.	Budget. RFI	5	
4B	11. Scored Factor 4B: If your agency has experience administering at least one other federal grant(s), please explain how your agency successfully handled that federal grant(s) or other major grant of this size and complexity, and please identify that grant. If not, please explain why your agency will be able to successfully manage complex reporting requirements. Your response should include:					4B. Award points if agency:	e-LOCCs E-Snaps	10	
	A description of the internal financial controls your agency uses, including: how your agency tracks the use of match funding; how your agency manages a well-maintained financial recordkeeping system; whether your agency has a board of directors, and if so, how the board oversees agency/project operations; whether your agency has a strategy for keeping documentation to show that each of your major expenses corresponds to an eligible cost, and; what strategy your agency will use to ensure adequate drawdowns.					Has successfully handled at least one other federal grant or other major grant of this size and complexity, either in or out of the CoC (or can otherwise demonstrate that it can successfully manage complex reporting requirements).			
	An explanation of how your agency has physical capacity (i.e., is large enough) to handle the expected client case load;					Has sufficient fiscal capacity to manage the grant, including: internal financial controls; grant match tracking; well-maintained records; oversight by a board of directors; a strategy for documenting eligible costs; a strategy for ensuring adequate grant drawdowns.			
	A list of innovative or evidence-based practices with which your agency is familiar; and					Is large enough to handle the expected client case load;			
	Whether your agency includes at least one person with formal training and/or education in a relevant social services field. [Please limit response to 3000 characters]					Is familiar with innovative or evidence-based practices;			
						Includes at least one person with formal training and/or education in a relevant social services field			
4C	12. Scored Factor 4C: Has this agency been audited or monitored by HUD, Sacramento Steps Forward, any financial institution, or any other funder in the past 2 years? If yes, did this audit or monitoring result in any irregularities? For the purposes of this factor, an irregularity is defined as a concern or finding from HUD, a recommendation or finding from SSF, a significant deficiency or material weakness from a financial audit, or a concern or finding from another funding entity.					4C. The agency must report all irregularities resolved or unresolved (e.g., a concern or finding from HUD, a recommendation or finding from SSF (sub- recipients only), a significant deficiency or material weakness from a financial audit, or any type of finding from another funding entity ex. City or County) revealed by any audits or monitoring for the agency (including shared common spaces for projects co-located with non-CoC-funded units) in Sacramento County.	All HUD, SSF, financial audits, or audits/monitoring from other funding entities from the last 2 years. RFI	5	

a. If this agency has not been audited or monitored, please respond "The project was not audited or monitored."					Upon request, agencies that have irregularities must provide (1) relevant documentation identifying those irregularities (e.g., highlighted sections of a financial report), and (2) the project's plan to rectify program irregularities. If irregularities have been rectified, projects should include any available confirmation letters from relevant oversight entities (e.g. SSF, HUD, Financial entity, Local Jurisdiction);			
b. If this agency has been audited or monitored, but there were no irregularities found, please respond "Audits or monitoring revealed no irregularities."					4C1. Audit (2.5 points) Award full points (2.5 points) for the project if the agency had a federal audit/single audit conducted every calendar year when required and had no findings.			
c. If this agency has been audited or monitored AND that process resulted in irregularities that are currently being disputed by the agency, the Review and Rank Panel may request the documentation as described in (i) below, but it is not required to be uploaded at this time. Please provide a written response next to (ii) below if necessary.					Award up to full points (2.5 points) for the project if:			
(i) Relevant documentation from the entities completing the auditing or monitoring (e.g., a single audit, the findings report from HUD, the findings report from Sacramento Steps Forward); AND					If the agency was not required to have a federal audit/single audit and provided another type of financial audit to demonstrate financial health, or			
(ii) A written response explaining: (1) the irregularities that were found, and (2) the agency's timeline for disputing the irregularities.					If the agency had findings or irregularities in its single audit or other type of financial audit, the agency provides adequate explanation of any irregularities and provides an adequate explanation to show how any irregularities have been or will be addressed. An adequate explanation includes (1) a brief explanation of the steps the project will take to address the irregularities, (2) the timeline these steps will be completed on, and (3) how the project will avoid similar findings in the future AND provides relevant documentation if requested by the review panel.			
d. If this project has been audited or monitored AND that process resulted in irregularities that are not being disputed by the agency, the Review and Rank Panel may requestdocumentation as described in (i) below, but it is not required to be uploaded at this time. Please provide a written response next to (ii) below if necessary.					If a project is currently disputing findings from an auditand submits (1) a brief explanation of the irregularities, and (2) the most updated timeline available for disputing the irregularities.			
(i) Relevant documentation from the entities completing the auditing or monitoring (e.g., a single audit, the findings report from HUD, the findings report from Sacramento Steps Forward); AND					Award up to 1 point if irregularities were found for this agency but the project does not provide an adequate explanation.			
(ii) A written response explaining: (1) the steps the project will take to address the irregularities, (2) the timeline these steps will be completed on, and (3) how the project will avoid similar irregularities in the future. [Please limit response to 3000 characters]					Award no points if the project does not provide any information regarding audits conducted OR if the project does not submit relevant documentation of reported audit findings upon request of the review panel.			

						4C2. Monitoring (2.5 points) Award full points (2.5 points) for the project if: the agency was not monitored; or if no irregularities have been revealed by any monitoring for this agency's projects in Sacramento County.			
						Award up to full points (2.5 points) for the project if:			
						If the agency has any monitoring findings or irregularities, the agency provides an adequate explanation to show how these have been or will be addressed. An adequate explanation includes (1) a brief explanation of the steps the project will take to address the irregularities, (2) the timeline these steps will be completed on, and (3) how the project will avoid similar findings in the future AND provides relevant documentation if requested by the review panel.			
						If a project is currently disputing findings from a and submits (1) a brief explanation of the irregularities, and (2) the most updated timeline available for disputing the irregularities.			
						Award up to 1 point if monitoring findings or irregularities were found for this agency but the project does not provide an adequate explanation.			
						Award no points if the project does not provide any information regarding monitoring OR if the project does not submit relevant documentation of reported monitoring findings upon request of the review panel.			
4D	13. Scoring Factor 4D: Please note, this question has two required parts – Identifying and Addressing Barriers (i) and BIPOC Representation in Leadership (ii).						4D. Identification of Barriers: Based on the degree to which the organization:	RFI	5	
	(i) Identifying and Addressing Barriers: Please describe how the organization has identified barriers to housing and services that disproportionally impact Black, Indigenous, and people of color (BIPOC). Please also describe the concrete steps the organization has taken to lessen the impacts of those barriers. Please note, steps described should be designed to address the specific experiences of BIPOC individuals.						1) Award up to one point if the organization has identified barriers to housing and services that are specifically faced by BIPOC individuals or that disproportionately affect BIPOC individuals; (1 point) and			
	(ii) BIPOC Representation in Leadership: Please respond to one of the following prompts:						2) Award up to one point if the organization has taken concrete steps to address the identified barriers and lessen their impact on BIPOC individuals. Strategies described should be designed to address the specific experiences of BIPOC individuals.			
	If the organization has been committed to serving BIPOC since its founding, please include the organization's original mission statement (or equivalent guiding statement) and a description of the racial and ethnic demographics of the organization's original leadership team.						BIPOC Representation in Leadership:			

	If the organization has not been committed to serving BIPOC since its founding, please (1) list the percentage of salaried leadership team and board of directors members that identify as BIPOC, and (2) describe concrete steps that have been taken to build commitment to racial equity practices within the organization's approach to serving individuals experiencing homelessness. This can include, but is not limited to, opportunities for cultural competency and implicit bias trainings; policies related to language accessibilities; and strategies to ensure that the salaried leadership team is representative of the racial and ethnic population that the organization serves. Please describe the impact or results of these strategies. [Please limit response to 3000 characters]					Award up to three points for the organization's explanation of its commitment to serving Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color (BIPOC) since its founding, as indicated by its original mission statement (or equivalent guiding statement) and the racial and ethnic demographics of its original leadership team.			
						Absent a commitment to serving BIPOC individuals since the organization's start, awards points based on the extent to which the agency demonstrates a commitment to measuring and improving its response to racial disparities and biases. Specifically:			
						Award up to one point if at least 25% of salaried leadership team and board of directors members identify as Black, Indigenous, or other people of color.			
						Award up to two points to the extent that the organization describes concrete steps that have been taken to build commitment to racial equity practices within the organization's approach serving individuals experiencing homelessness. These may include but are not limited to: opportunities for cultural competency and implicit bias trainings; policies related to language accessibility; and strategies to ensure that the salaried leadership team is representative of the racial and ethnic populations that the organization serves. The applicant must also describe the impact or results of their strategies.			
	Prioritization for New Projects Except DV Bonus								
5A1	14. Scored Factor 5A1: Will this project address the priority need identified by the Sacramento CoC Advisory Committee in 2019: permanent supportive housing, with targeted services for either youth or seniors? Select Yes or No from the Yes/No column to the right and identify which priority need this project meets in the Narrative Response Column. For example, "permanent supportive housing with targeted services for seniors".				Select Yes or No from the Yes/No column to the right and identify which priority need this project meets in the Narrative Response Column.	5A1. Award points if the project addresses the priority need identified by the Advisory Committee in 2019; Permanent Supportive Housing, with targeted services for either youth or seniors.	E-snaps. RFI	10
						Please note that HUD may require that Permanent Supportive Housing be dedicated to persons experiencing Chronic Homelessness.			
	Please note, projects can receive points for both the Community Priority (5A1) and HUD Priority (5A2) factors if they meet both criteria. Please limit response to 250 characters]					Please note, projects can receive points for both the Community Priority (5.A.1) and HUD Priority (5.A.2) factors if they meet the criteria.			

5A2	15. Scored Factor 5A2: Will this project address the priority need identified by HUD in 2021: permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing that leverages healthcare resources to support program participants? Will this project address the other priority need identified by HUD in 2021: permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing, with Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) or other non-CoC or ESG funding for rental assistance or leasing? Select Yes or No from the Yes/No column to the right and identify which priority need this project meets in the Narrative Response Column. For example, "permanent supportive housing with healthcare resources committed by WellSpace Health".				Select Yes or No from the Yes/No column to the right and identify which priority need this project meets in the Narrative Response Column.	5A2. Award points if the project addresses the priority needs identified by HUD in 2021:	E-snaps. RFI	5	
	If you are applying for a housing project that leverages non-CoC or ESG funding for rental assistance or leasing, please upload any letter(s) of commitment, contract(s), or other formal written document(s) that includes the project name and demonstrate(s) the number of subsidies or units being provided to support the program participants anticipated to be served by the project.				Upload documents by clicking on the paperclip to the left of the Scoring Factor Column, then click "Attach Files to Row" button in the Attachments box to the right, and select "Upload a File" and select file from your computer. After file is uploaded, check the box in the Uploaded File column. Please name the attachment in a way that makes clear what the document is (e.g. Agency Name_ Commitment	Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Re-Housing that leverages healthcare resources to support program participants (as documented with a written commitment from a health care organization); OR			
	If you are applying for a permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing project that leverages healthcare resources to support program participants, please also upload a formal written agreement from a healthcare organization that includes the project name, the resources to be provided, the value of the commitment, and the specific dates that healthcare resources will be provided (e.g., 1-year, term of grant, etc.).				Upload the agreement by clicking on the paperclip to the left of the Scoring Factor Column, then click "Attach Files to Row" button in the Attachments box to the right, and select "Upload a File" and select file from your computer. After file is uploaded, check the box in the Uploaded File column. Please name the attachment in a way that makes clear what the document is (e.g. Agency Name_ Healthcare Agreement) and provide a	Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Re-Housing, with Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) or other non-CoC funding for rental assistance of leasing.			
	Please note, projects can receive points for both the Community Priority (5A1) and HUD Priority (5A2) factors if they meet the criteria. [Please limit response to 250 characters]					Please note, projects can receive points for both the Community Priority (5.A.1) and HUD Priority (5.A.2) factors if they meet the criteria.			
5A3	16. Scored Factor 5A3: Please explain how this project will serve population(s) with severe service needs and vulnerabilities. Please also explain how this project will fill an important gap in housing and services for persons experiencing homelessness in the Sacramento region (e.g., serving an underserved population, leveraging unique source of funding). Please specifically consider the following needs, vulnerabilities, and populations when answering this question: youth, seniors, individuals with health conditions identified by the CDC as making someone vulnerable to COVID-19 (for a full list of conditions, click the paperclip to the left of the Scoring Factor column and select the Medical Conditions List link). [Please limit response to 3000 characters]					5A3. Award points to projects that will serve population(s) with severe needs and vulnerabilities (e.g. chronically homeless, history of domestic violence), and will also fill an important gap in housing and services for persons experiencing homelessness in the Sacramento region (e.g., serving a unique population, leveraging certain funding, maintaining site based housing). Applicants should specifically consider the needs and vulnerabilities of youth or seniors or for those experiencing health conditions identified by the CDC as making someone vulnerable to COVID-19. Click the paperclip to the left of the Scoring Factor Column for the list of medical conditions.	RFI, APR	10	
	Prioritization for DV Bonus Housing (Use instead of Questions 14-16 if applying for DV Bonus funding)								

5B1	17. Scored Factor 5B1: Please explain how your project will address the need of survivors of domestic violence in the CoC region. Please include in your response:					5B1. Award points for each of the following items:	RFI	5	
	a. A description, supported by data, of how many survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or trafficking are currently in the Continuum of Care's geographic area (Sacramento County);					Project provides data describing the CoC's population of domestic violence survivors			
	b. How this project proposes to meet the unmet needs of domestic violence survivors, especially survivors who come from unsheltered situations;					The project will have housing that is specifically designed to accommodate the needs of survivors.			
	c. How the project will have housing that is specifically designed to accommodate the needs of survivors;					The project's staff has skills that are specifically needed to identify and locate survivors, or to persuade survivors to accept and enter housing.			
	d. What skills the project staff will have that are specifically needed to identify and locate survivors, or to persuade survivors to accept and enter housing;					The project's staff utilize trauma-informed and client-centered approaches.			
	e. How staff utilize trauma-informed and client centered approaches; and					The project meets a priority need identified by HUD in 2021: Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Re-Housing that leverages healthcare resources to support program participants (as documented with a written commitment from a health care organization); OR Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Re-Housing, with Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) or other non- CoC funding for rental assistance of leasing.			
	f. If the project will meet the priority need identified by HUD in 2021 - permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing that leverages healthcare resources to support program participants OR leverages Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) or other non-CoC funding for rental assistance of leasing. [Please limit response to 3000 characters]								
5B2	18. Scored Factor 5B2: Please summarize your agency's experience serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or human trafficking, or, if your agency does not have previous experience, describe your agency's plan to serve this population. In your response, please specifically address how this project will serve survivors who come from unsheltered situations. [Please limit response to 1000 characters]					5B2. Award points if the agency has experience serving, or demonstrates a plan to serve, victims who are fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, which includes dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or human trafficking, and that experience, or plan, specifically shows that they can serve victims who come from unsheltered situations.	RFI	10	
5B3	19. Scored Factor 5B3: Please describe your project's ability to meet safety outcomes for survivors of domestic violence. In your response, please:					5B3. Award points for each of the following items:	RFI	10	
	a. Articulate your project's specific plan for ensuring that your residents will be safe from further domestic violence,					The project articulates a specific plan for ensuring that its residents will be safe from further domestic violence.			
	b. Suggest quantitative safety targets for your project that are appropriate and realistic, and					The project sets quantitative safety targets that are appropriate and realistic.			
	c. Explain why it is likely your project will be able to achieve these safety targets. [Please limit response to 3000 characters]					The project explains why it is likely to be able to achieve the targeted safety outcomes.			
	Community								

6A	20. Scored Factor 6A: Please describe your agency's attendance, participation, and leadership at CoC events, meetings, committees, forums, and projects, with a focus on activities that took place since last year's CoC NOFO (i.e., November 2021). Include in your description an explanation of how your agency meaningfully participated in at least 4 voluntary events (e.g., committee meetings, Board meetings, trainings) over the course of the year, or if your agency led at least 1 successful event, training, or initiative over the course of the year. [Please limit response to 1000 characters]				6A. Award points for the agency's attendance, participation, and leadership at CoC events, meetings, committees, forums, and projects, with a focus on activities that took place since the last NOFA. Typically, full points should be awarded if the agency meaningfully participated in at least 4 voluntary events over the course of the year, or if the agency led at least 1 successful event, training, or initiative over the course of the year.	RFI	5	
6B					6B. Award full points if the project met all local competition deadlines, including deadlines for turning in supporting documents and attachments.	Analysis	5	
					Award 3 points if any portion of the local application was turned in up to 24 hours late.			
					Award no points if any mandatory portion of the local application was more than 24 hours late.			
					If any mandatory portion of the local application was more than 72 hours late, the project may be disqualified at the discretion of the Panel.			
	21. To confirm that all responses to the 2023 Sacramento New Project Supplemental Questionnaire are true and complete, please respond YES in the Yes/No Column to the right.			**Complete this row after all other sections of the application are complete. When Yes is selected in the Yes/No Column to the left, Housing Tools will be notified that your application is complete and the Review & Ranking Committee will begin scoring the application. Click save (upper lefthand**				
Total							105	0