



System Performance Committee (SPC) Meeting Minutes

Thursday, April 14, 2022 || 8:30 AM – 10:30 AM

[Recording of Zoom Meeting](#). The chat is below the minutes.

Attendance:

Member	Area of Representation	Present
Amani Sawires Rapaski	Substance Abuse & Housing Programs	No
Avery Holland	Service Provider	No
Cheyenne Caraway	Housing Authority	Yes
Danielle Foster	Local Government	No
Dawn Basciano	State Government	Yes
Emily Halcon	Local Government	Yes
Erin Johansen	Mental Health	No
Gina Roberson	Domestic Violence	Yes
Lisa Bates, Co-Chair	Lead Agency	Yes
Lorraine Wilkins	Education & Service Provider	No
Mike Jaske	Faith Community Advocate	Yes
Monica Rocha-Wyatt	Mental Health	No
Rebecca Sterling	Service Provider	Yes
Sanford Robinson	Veterans	No

If you have any questions or would like more information about this meeting, contact Scott Clark with Sacramento Steps Forward at sclark@sacstepsforward.org.

Sher Singh	DEI Chair	Yes
Stefan Heisler, Co-Chair	City of Rancho Cordova	Yes
Tahirh Kraft	Service Provider	Excused

SSF Staff	SSF Title
Christina Heredia	Referral Specialist
Jesse Archer	CoC Analyst
Josh Lowy	Programmer Analyst
Kaylin Jones	CoC Project Coordinator
Lisa Bates	Chief Executive Officer
Michelle Watts	Chief Planning Officer
Peter Bell	CE Manager
Tanisha Travis	PLE Coordinator
Ya-yin Isle	Chief Strategic Initiatives Officer

Racial Equity Committee Member Liaison
Dawn Basciano

Guests
Alisa Osunfunke Orduna, Angela Drake, Gordon Mills, Joe Smith, Mark Silverbush, Nick Golling, Pat Macht, Rahel Solomon, Rose Artega, Tom Albanese, 19164095746

Agenda Item	Presenter(s):	Time	Item Type
I. Welcome & Introductions: REQC Liaisons	Lisa Bates & Stefan Heisler (Co-Chairs)	8:30 AM (5 minutes)	Information
<p>Co-Chair Stefan Heisler called the meeting to order at 8:40am, before quorum was achieved and welcomed those attending the meeting. Attendance was then recorded as above.</p> <p>Stefan Heisler asked for guests and new SSF employees to introduce themselves: Angie Drake, UC Davis and Jesse Archer, SSF, introduced themselves.</p>			
II. Approval of March 24, 2022 Meeting Minutes	Stefan Heisler	8:35 AM (5 minutes)	Action
<p>Stefan Heisler tabled approval of the March committee meeting minutes as quorum hadn't been reached at the time.</p>			
III. Announcements (Upcoming Events & Recent Actions)	SPC Co-Chairs, SPC Members, Guests	8:40 AM (5 minutes)	Information
<p>Stefan Heisler and Lisa Bates shared details on the Annual Meeting on April 21, 2022.</p> <p>Stefan Heisler proposed another meeting to the group for next week to continue discussion on gaps analysis. Meeting was agreed upon and will be on April 19, 2022.</p> <p>Mike Jaske shared information on the upcoming CaAIM Roundtable.</p>			
IV. 2022 Gaps Analysis & HHAP Action Plan - HHAP-3 updates - Gaps data review -	Tom Albanese, Consultant Mark Silverbush, Consultant	8:45 AM (95 minutes)	Information & Discussion

Discuss assumptions			
<p>Tom Albanese, Consultant with SSF, shared preliminary gaps analysis results with the committee. Tom and team are still mid-way through the analysis. Initial demand/need results were shared with the committee. Members provided feedback on the approach and methodology. Demand and gaps information will be shared at the special SPC meeting and at the annual meeting on April 21st.</p> <p>Questions were asked and discussed. Please see chat and recording for more.</p>			
V. Community Updates on Issues Impacting System Performance	SPC Members	10:20 AM (10 minutes)	Information & Discussion
None			
<p>VII. Meeting Adjourned at 10:31 AM. Next SPC Meeting: Thursday, May 26th from 9:00am to 11:00am</p>			

SPC Meeting Chat

08:31:13 From Christina H SSF Referral Specialist (she, her) to Everyone:
Good morning everyone!

08:31:31 From Nick Golling to Everyone:
nick golling here in place of Danielle Foster

08:42:23 From Christina H SSF Referral Specialist (she, her) to Everyone:
Welcome Angie!

09:24:58 From Rebecca Sterling to Everyone:
I have to hop off early today, sorry all. Thanks and talk soon

09:41:05 From Emily Halcon to Everyone:
School district definition of homeless is much broader

09:41:24 From GINA Roberson to Everyone:
agreed about families with children... and we need to ensure we include families who are homeless as a result of DV, and youth homeless as a result of exploitation, etc

09:43:45 From Christina H SSF Referral Specialist (she, her) to Everyone:
Would a parent who has children in CPS be counted as a family or single?

09:49:35 From Emily Halcon to Everyone:
To be clear, the AOD # is people who are disabled by AOD, following previous PIT analysis. Many more may use, but are not disabled by use - the general public sentiment is typically the latter. Your numbers align with what I know and history, but suspect there will be questions.

09:51:17 From Jesse (he/him) SSF, CoC Analyst to Everyone:
That is a good clarifying point, Emily. Thank you.

09:54:42 From Christina H SSF Referral Specialist (she, her) to Everyone:
Thank you

09:56:36 From GINA Roberson to Everyone:
this year's point in time count for DV agencies were operating at limited capacity due to covid

09:56:36 From Jesse (he/him) SSF, CoC Analyst to Everyone:
Thanks!

09:57:16 From GINA Roberson to Everyone:
in sac there is limited number of dv agencies with shelter housing services, so we could potentially provide those data manually

09:57:38 From GINA Roberson to Everyone:
generally for every dv victim there is 2.5 children

10:00:51 From Cheyenne Caraway to Everyone:
Sorry, I have to jump off for another meeting.

10:02:16 From GINA Roberson to Everyone:
I have to jump off too... happy to meet after to discuss and provide information as needed after

10:06:34 From Nick Golling to Everyone:

For clarity, generally we see closer to 65% male, 35% female. Depends on the story to the state that we are telling. If its including highlighting the need for higher utilization of HMIS across all providers, the 51, 49 numbers could be more helpful.

10:11:21 From Emily Halcon to Everyone:

When will we overlay the need for performance improvements vs. net new units/beds?

10:14:03 From Mike Jaske, SacACT to Everyone:

The short list of three housing types is too limiting. For example, Sacramento has really low turnover in PSH because there is no "step down" housing for them to move on to.

10:15:17 From Nick Golling to Everyone:

For what its worth as it relates to a regional plan, we need multiple types of both housing and ES. This includes the inclusion of TH as well as ES programs that include sober living as part of the modality.

10:22:19 From Ya-yin Isle (she/her), SSF to Everyone:

Tom - where are you including housing vouchers? PSH or RRH?

10:22:30 From Christina H SSF Referral Specialist (she, her) to Everyone:

Intense supportive services/after care

10:23:09 From Mark Silverbush to Everyone:

Housing vouchers (with services) would be PSH

10:26:11 From Nick Golling to Everyone:

Good suggestion, Emily!

10:31:08 From Michele Watts, she/her/hers, SSF Chief Planning Officer to Everyone:
heading to HMIS & Data Committee. Thanks, everyone!

10:31:17 From Nick Golling to Everyone:

Same

10:31:26 From Jesse (he/him) SSF, CoC Analyst to Everyone:

I will be sending that invite today!

10:31:42 From Jesse (he/him) SSF, CoC Analyst to Everyone:

I need to head to my next meeting, thanks folks.

10:31:53 From Mike Jaske, SacACT to Everyone:

Does the RAPS data provide something useful to estimate the % of people that just need some financial assistance?

10:31:59 From Christina H SSF Referral Specialist (she, her) to Everyone:

Thank you

10:32:08 From Mark Silverbush to Everyone:

Thanks everybody