Coordinated Entry System Committee (CESC) Agenda

Thursday, June 24th, 2021 | 2:30 PM - 4:00 PM



Zoom Meeting ID: 836 6710 1917 **Passcode**: 772699

One tap mobile: +16699009128,,83667101917#,,,,*772699# US (San Jose)

Dial by your location: +1 669 900 9128 US (San Jose)

Find your local number here

Agenda Item	Presenter(s):	Time	Item Type
I. Welcome & Introductions	John Foley, & Jenna Abbott, CESC, Co-Chairs	2:30 PM (5 minutes)	Informal
II. Approval of 6/10/2021 CESC Minutes	John Foley	2:35 PM (5 minutes)	Action
III. Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHVs) Overview	Peter Bell, SSF CES Manager	2:40 PM (15 minutes)	Presentation
IV. EHVs Prioritization	Michele Watts, SSF Chief Planning Officer & Peter Bell	2:55 PM (45 minutes)	Presentation / Action
V. COVID-19 PSH Prioritization Extension	Michele Watts & Peter Bell	3:40 PM (20 minutes)	Presentation / Action

VI. Meeting Adjourned

Next Meeting: Thursday, July 8th, 2021 (2:30 PM - 4:00 PM)

Potential Topics to cover: Policy Updates, Quarterly Data, RAPS updates

Coordinated Entry System Committee (CESC) Meeting Minutes



Ending Homelessness. Starting Fresh.

Recording of Zoom Meeting. The chat and material(s) discussed at the meeting (not provided before the meeting) are below the minutes.

Attendance:

Member	Area of Representation / Organization	Present
Cheyenne Carraway	SHRA	Yes
Derrick Bane	Turning Point Community Programs	No
Desirae Stermer	Hope Cooperative	No
Erica Plumb	Mercy Housing	Yes
Gabriel Kendell	2-1-1	Yes
Jenna Abbott (Co-Chair)	River District	Yes
John Foley (Co-Chair)	Sacramento Self Help Housing	Yes
Julie Field	Sac. County Dept. of Human Assistance	Yes
Kate Hutchinson	Lutheran Social Services	Yes
Kelsey Endo	Cottage Housing	Yes
Maggie Marshall	Kaiser Sacramento	No
Monica Rocha-Wyatt	Sac. County Dept. of Behavioral Health	Yes
Paula Kelley	Sacramento Self Help Housing	No
Phillip Scott Reed	US Department of Veterans Affairs	Yes
Rose Aghaowa	Wellness & Recovery North	No
Tina Glover	SACOG	No
Stephanie Cotter	City of Citrus, Heights	No

SSF Staff	SSF Title
Andrew Geurkink	CoC Specialist

Lisa Bates	CEO
Michele Watts	Chief Planning Officer
Michelle Charlton	CoC Coordinator
Peter Bell	CES Program Manager
Scott Clark	Systems Performance Analyst
Stacey Fong	CE Analyst
Tiffani Reimers	CES Operations Coordinator

Homebase Staff

Gillian Morshedi, Julie McFarland, & Meadow Robinson

Guests

Angela Upshaw, Ardy Akhzari, Christie Gonzales, Emily Halcon, Gina Roberson, Jen Contreras, Jesse Williams, Jill Fox, Joseph Smith, MaryLiz Paulson, Patrick Cornell, Rebecca Sterling, Shannon Hus, Sheri Green, and Tasha Lee.

Agenda Item	Presenter(s):	Time	Item Type
I. Welcome & Introductions	John Foley, & Jenna Abbott, CESC, Co-Chairs	2:30 PM (5 minutes)	Informal
John Foley and Peter Bell started introductions around 2:35 PM. Attendance of 31 participants.			

II. Approval of:	John Foley	2:35 PM	Action
3/11/2021 CESC Minutes4/8/2021 CESC Minutes		(5 minutes)	

Motioned for approval of 3/11/21 Meeting Minutes: 1^{st} - Jenna Abbott, 2^{nd} - Monica Rocha-Wyatt

Motion approved.

Motioned for approval of 4/8/21 Meeting Minutes: 1st - Gabriel Kendell, 2nd - Erica Plumb

Motion approved.

III. Dynamic Systems	Meadow	2:40 PM	Informational
	Robinson & Julie	(60 minutes)	
	McFarland,	,	
	Homebase		
		l	

Meadow Robinson discussed where we left off on Dynamic Systems and shared a Discussion Tool Dynamic Prioritization document, asking questions and recorded responses during the meeting. Julie McFarland briefly discussed qualitative and quantitative data and next steps. Questions/comments were asked in the chat and during the meeting; please see the recording link above.

IV. Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHVs)	Peter Bell, SSF CE Manager	3:40 PM (20 minutes)	Informational

Peter Bell briefly described EHVs and provided an overview on the discussion. Cheyenne Carraway, SHRA, shared a <u>presentation</u> that consisted of: what are EHVs, EHV eligibility, EHV partnerships, housing search assistance, enhanced assistance, key elements of program and initial lease up.

Michele Watts, SSF, presented EHV focused questions and discussed the scope of questions:

- 1. What prioritization approach would drive the greatest reduction of homelessness in the community? (Thoughts on reducing inflow, creating flow through and outflow, preventing recidivism, addressing unsheltered homelessness)
- 2. What criteria or factors should drive prioritization of populations served?
- 3. Thoughts on narrowing the at-risk population to serve the most at-risk and how to do so.
- 4. What is necessary to have success in participants maintaining/retaining housing?

- 5. Should we use one ranked list combining all eligible categories or multiple lists by eligible category (and/or other household type or subpopulation categories) with allocations assigned to each?
- 6. How do we balance the CES mission of prioritization with the need to fully utilize vouchers in a short time period (to ensure we use the initial allocation and gain/receive additional vouchers)?
- 7. Given the timing/timeframe challenges, what are the biggest issues and opportunities to address system gaps and outcomes?

Discussions/questions held during the meeting:

- EHV start date is July 1st, 2021 however can be accepted as early as today
- SSF staff capacity: No funding is available to hire on additional SSF staff
- Current PSH population being a priority group
- The EHV Notice can be found here
- Moving the next CESC meeting to Thur., June 24th from 2:30pm 4:00pm. To be confirmed post meeting.
- Follow up materials will be shared post meeting with Michele's EHV questions within a survey.
- EHV FAQ is here
- Information on EHV from HUD is available here
- Additional questions/comments were asked in the chat and during the meeting; please see the recording link above.

V. Meeting Adjourned around 4:07 pm.

Next Meeting: Thursday, June 24th from 2:30pm - 4:00pm

Potential Topics to cover: CES Prioritization, EHVs, Policy Updates,

Quarterly Data, RAPS updates

Emergency Housing Vouchers

Sacramento Continuum of Care



Overview

- Targeted subpopulations
- Prioritization factors
- Referral benchmarks



Targeted Subpopulations

The Sacramento Continuum of Care is choosing to target subpopulations in all four eligible categories, including:

Category 1 - Experiencing Homelessness: Chronically homeless, Literally homeless

Category 2- At-risk of Experiencing Homelessness: **Seniors**

Category 3- Fleeing or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking or human trafficking: **Survivors**

Category 4- Recently homeless and for whom providing rental assistance will prevent the family's homelessness or having high risk of housing instability: **Move-on eligible residents in current PSH programs (not limited to CoC-only)**; **Recently homeless eligible residents in RRH programs**

Eligibility Requirements

In addition to meeting specific eligibility requirements applicable to each category, a household is considered *ineligible*, if:

- Any member of the household is subject to a lifetime registration requirement on a state sex offender registration program
- Any member of the household has ever been convicted of manufacturing methamphetamines on the premises of federally assisted housing
- There are no members of the household who are U.S. citizens or noncitizens with eligible immigration status



EHV Assessment

Each factor is worth one point. A household would be prioritized based on the highest score:

- No or a very low source of income
- Previously experienced homelessness (HMIS)
- Long-term disability as defined by the HEARTH Act that impedes their ability to work
- History of eviction
- Other housing barriers, such as a criminal background (excluding lifetime 290s)
- Is able to meet most basic needs independently and does not require long-term supportive services OR is receiving sufficient CBO services to meet their needs

Other factors to consider:

- Recently homeless: rent burden if household were to remain in their unit when assistance ends
- At-risk: urgency/# of days when household will exit to homelessness



Subpopulation: Chronically Homeless

- Reasoning: Targets existing CoC and CES programs, who serve the most vulnerable
- Prioritization factors: Connected to ongoing supportive services, COVID-19 prioritization factors
- Referral sources: Behavioral Health Programs, Health Homes/Pathways, FSRP, and other programs serving this population
- Estimated number of weekly referrals: 25+ (depends on eligible supportive services)

Subpopulation: Literally Homeless

- Reasoning: Targets existing CoC and CES programs
- Prioritization factors: EHV assessment questions
- Referral sources: Varies
- Estimated number of weekly referrals: 30



Subpopulation: At-Risk Seniors (63+ years old)

- Reasoning: (1) Seniors are prioritized within the CES temporary COVID-19
 prioritization schema. (2) There is a lack of sufficient dedicated housing services for
 seniors and seniors are ineligible for mainstream vouchers.
- Prioritization factors- EHV assessment questions
- Referral sources- n/a
- Estimated number of weekly referrals: 5



Subpopulation: Survivors

- Reasoning: (1) Victim service providers have not been well-connected to the homeless response system, despite the intersectionality between intimate partner violence and homelessness. (2) EHV notice requires pathway(s) for all survivors.
- Prioritization factors: Severity of needs questions, EHV assessment questions
- Referral sources: My Sister's House, Opening Doors, WEAVE, CASH, IRC, St. John's Women's Shelter, and possibly others.
- Estimated number of weekly referrals: 25



Subpopulation: PSH Move-on Ready

- Reasoning: Creates more flow through coordinated entry PSH units for most vulnerable populations
- Prioritization factors: Do you still need supportive services?
 - Trauma Informed / Motivational Interviewing questions Are you ready?
- Referral sources: All permanent supportive housing programs
- Estimated number of weekly referrals: 15



Subpopulation: RRH Bridge to EHV

- Reasoning: Prevents returns to homelessness
- Prioritization factors: EHV assessment questions
- Referral sources: All RRH programs (?)
- Estimated number of weekly referrals: ???



Prioritization and Referral

- Within each of the six subpopulations, clients will be prioritized based on the highest assessment score
- Clients with the same score will be ranked by length of time homeless, and then first come first served
- Referrals will take place through HMIS (anonymous profiles will be used for Survivor System referrals)



Weekly Benchmarks – 100 Referrals / week

Subpopulation	Number of Weekly Referrals
Chronically Homeless	25+
Literally Homeless (non-chronic)	30
At-Risk Seniors	5
Survivors	25
PSH Move On	15
RRH Bridge	TBD
Total	100 +



Weekly Benchmarks — 100 Referrals / week

100 households referred to SHRA on a weekly basis

If there are not enough eligible clients within a subpopulation to complete the target number of referrals per week, eligible households within the other subpopulations will be referred.

